Real-time, All-sky, Extreme Time-resolution Imaging from the LWA-Sevilleta Telescope Using the EPIC Architecture

NSF-ATI (Award 1710719)

PI: Nithyanandan Thyagarajan, Adam Beardsley Co-I: Judd Bowman, Greg Taylor, Jayce Dowell









Nithyanandan Thyagarajan Jansky Fellow (NRAO/ASU)

James Kent Jayce Dowell (Cambridge) (UNM)







Adam Beardsley NSF Fellow (ASU)

Judd Bowman (ASU)

Greg Taylor (UNM)

Gregg Hallinan (OVRO-LWA, Caltech) Miguel Morales (UW) Aaron Parsons (HERA, Berkeley) Dan Werthimer (Berkeley) Peter Williams (CfA) Casey Law (Berkeley) Ralph Wijers (LOFAR, Amsterdam)

Key Science Drivers of Radio Astronomy:Large-N Small Diameter (LNSD) Paradigm
CosmologyCosmologyTransients/Time-domainLarge scale structure• Wide fields of view

- Wide fields of view
- Compact Aperture Size
- Large Number of antennas for Collecting area

- Wide fields of view
- Large number of antennas for collecting area
- High time cadence, fast writeouts





Thornton et al. (2013)

Modern Radio Telescopes



Aperture Synthesis in Existing Radio Interferometry Architecture





Image credit: Adam Beardsley

- Aperture / image planes related by FT
- Spatial correlation: Computationally expensive for Large-N telescopes
- Architectural redesign?
- Convolution theorem of Fourier Transform:
 FT(Correlation) ←→ FT(.) x FT(.)

Traditional / Correlation-based Direct Imaging (FT and square)

A limited implementation of Direct Imaging

- Antennas placed on a grid and perform spatial FFT of antenna voltages on grid to get complex voltage images
- Square the transformed complex voltage image to obtain real-valued intensity images
- Current implementation:
 - 8x8 array in Japan
 (Daishido et al. 2000)
 - 4x8 BEST-2 array at Radiotelescopi de Medicina, Italy (Foster et al. 2014)



Foster et al. (2014)

Need for generic direct imaging

Hurdles with current implementations

- Uniformly arranged arrays have poor point spread functions – thus not ideal for imaging
- Aliasing of objects from outside field of view
- Assumptions of identical antennas => poor calibration
- Calibration still requires
 antenna correlations

MOFF algorithm Morales (2011)

- Antennas need not be on a grid but still exploit FFT efficiency
- Can customize to science needs
- Accounts for non-identical antennas
- Calibration does not require forming visibilities
- Can handle complex imaging issues - w-projection, timedependent wide-field refractions and scintillations
- Optimal images





EPIC – <u>Generic</u> Direct "Fourier Optics" Imaging for Radio Interferometry

- Allows arbitrary layouts (diverse science goals)
- Allows heterogeneous arrays (non-identical antennas) e.g. LWA1—LWASV – VLA, or antenna-to-antenna variations
- Works trivially and efficiently for redundant arrays such as HERA. E.g., FFT correlator/FFT imager being developed for HERA is just a special case of EPIC architecture.
- Simultaneous all-sky beamforming

Fast, generic, wide-field radio camera for large-N arrays

EPIC on LWA1 Data



Fast Transient Capabilities: EPIC on OVRO-LWA Data

188 core antennas (200m dia.) 47 MHz, 2.6 MHz bandwidth Cadence 0.04 ms





Economic Data Writeout Rates

Telescope	Data rate (EPIC) GB/s	Data rate (FX/XF) GB/s
LWA1	≃ 3	≃ 24.3
LWA-OV	≃ 12	≃ 24.3
HERA-19	$\lesssim 0.19$	≃ 0.13
HERA-37	$\lesssim 0.19$	$\simeq 0.5$
HERA-331	$\lesssim 3$	≃ 41
CHIME	$\lesssim 6.1$	≃ 610

Assumes writeout timescale of 10 ms

Data rate ~N_g for EPIC

- Data rate ~N_a² for visibilities to be written out
- EPIC lowers data rates significantly in modern/future telescopes
- EPIC also yields "Science-ready" calibrated images on short timescales
- Ideal for bright, fast (e.g. FRB, MSP) and slow transients with large-N dense arrays

Current and future telescopes in EPIC-FX parameter space



LWA-Sevilleta (LWA-SV), New Mexico



Image Credit: Greg Taylor (PI: LWA-SV)

LWA-SV Parameters

- 257 dual polarization LWA dipoles
- Dense 110 m x 100 m aperture
- 10-88 MHz frequency coverage
- 20 MHz bandwidth (beamforming + imaging)
- New Digital Processor using 16 Roach 2 boards and 7 GPU servers
- Bifrost architecture for Data processing





Image Credit: Greg Taylor

EPIC in action: First Light with EPIC on LWA-SV



lime cadence: 50 ms

Image credit: EPIC team

Kent, ..., NT et al. (in prep.)

EPIC Throughput

Processing Time and UDP Packet Loss. Time Gulp Size = 50.00 ms



EPIC Parameter Space Coverage

- All-sky
- Real-time
- High time-resolution
- Continuous operation
- All timescales: Tens of microseconds – milliseconds – seconds – minutes – hours







Task 1

- Process real data through "software EPIC" verify it can handle real-world data and artifacts LWA1
 - OVRO-LWA
 - LWA-SV
 - MWA-II Core VCS

(in progress) 120 Antennas inside 250m x 250m core



Image Credit: Randall Wayth

Implement GPU-based EPIC on LWA-SV Implementation performance Optimize to LWA-SV system parameters

Task 2

<u>Task 3</u>

EPIC image quality verification with FX approach

Compare to known sky models





Implement a blind search for transients

- Start with low DM Dedispersion (local FRBs, Galactic pulsars)
- Monitor 7 known millisecond pulsars with the LWA, search for more
- Circular polarization to monitor exoplanets for auroral bursts





<u>Task 5</u>

- Evaluate performance, potential and scalability for
 - SKA1
 - LWA swarm (network)
 - HERA-III
- Inputs to Astro2020 decadal survey





Dense Aperture Arrays SKA Central Region

Sparse Aperture Arraus

5 km

EPIC Summary

- EPIC is one of the most generic / fast / efficient versions of a direct imager and inherently a science-ready radio architecture
- EPIC is promising for most modern/future telescopes (LWA, LWA Swarm, ngLOBOlow, SKA1-low, HERA, CHIME, MWA II/III core, etc.) that have dense layouts
 - Time domain Universe
 - Fast writeouts
 - Economic data rates
 - Calibrated images at no additional cost
 - Cosmology studies
 - Large-N dense arrays for sensitivity to large scales
- NSF funded deployment on LWA-Sevilleta underway
- First light observed with EPIC on LWA-Sevilleta in real-time (Kent et al. in prep.)
- Opens up many science and technology applications currently not feasible
- EPIC paper Thyagarajan et al. 2017, MNRAS, 467, 715
- Calibration paper (EPICal) Beardsley et al. 2017, MNRAS, 470, 4720
- Highly parallelized EPIC implementation publicly available <u>https://github.com/nithyanandan/EPIC/</u>
- Postdoc opportunities at ASU: https://sese.asu.edu/about/opportunities/other